

FEEDBACK to greebridgesproject.org

- The website is not structured in a logical/natural order, it does not respect the importance of the topics;
- The menus in *Partnership pages*, *Pandemic Times* and *Development Programs* are mixed (for example what is *Work Programs and Reflections for Pandemic Times* looking for - which is actually called “*Reflecting and Developing during a pandemic*” in *Development Programs* and not in *Pandemic Times* - pandemic reflections are there too !?)
- *Schedule* should have been place separately, in order to see the progress of the project, the sequence of activities, the meetings
- In *Dev. Programs* is a mess: Schedule + Report + Refl. For Pandemic Times!
- *Surveys* should be placed separately
- In the menu *Tangible Outputs 04 Best Practice: Survey 2* - so you have to search elsewhere for Outputs 01, 02, 03 and Survey 1
- Menus that have other submenus and the third submenu – it is very hard to find something, as suggestion: separate buttons instead of so many menus and submenus would have had been better.
- Presentation of the partners: LITHUANIA - 10 pictures/10 names, a picture with the books arranged and the rest of the text in the mother tongue; ROMANIA – ASIE did not agree with this meme to appear on the partners presentation page.
- I didn't really understand much about the partners - at least a brief presentation with some essential elements about each partner.
- Language and wording - wooden language, trivial, empty phrases that fill the space without any core information; too much text;
- Nothing concrete: the purpose of the project, its originality in relation to other similar projects, the steps taken, etc.,



- The colour palette is tiring for the eyes. Green on green, red on selection, black on menu, etc.,
- Homepage - there is no central navigation point to various sections;
- Missing side navigation;
- The main pages, accessible from the first level of the menu, should be accessible from the secondary pages;
- For example: The partners - partners from different countries are listed, adding links to their activities would be easier and more intuitive;
- In general, it seems as a power point presentation, there are no interactive elements, all pages are "orphans";
- On mobile, the content is not centred, all pdfs are truncated;
- I don't know for whom the whole site is made, but an outsider, who doesn't know anything about the project, would give up going through this "difficult maze" after the first 5 minutes.
- ASIE has observed that many of its activities within this project are placed in different sections of the website that have no direct connection with the specific of the activity.